

Article No. : #405

Comment on Descriptions

1. Title

[X] Appropriate

[] Should be changed

2. Abstract

Yes[X] No[] Is the length reasonable?

Yes[] No[] Is it an appropriate summary of the content?

3. Main Text

Yes[] No[X] Is there anything new in this work?

Yes[] No[X] Is the relation to previous studies adequately stated?

Yes[] No[X] Are the assumption(s) and/or method(s) described comprehensively?

Yes[X] No[] Are the new results adequately emphasized?

Line #	Editor's Comments
General	Some words no space (Fromrice, mutantlines, ofdesirable, Providedmore strongaroma) Please check and revise.
6-7	Please check the Institution name and address.
22-23	According to Morita et al. (3) reported that gamma rays ---- Please choose one of the following option: 1) According to Morita et al. (3), gamma rays ----- 2) Morita et al. (3) reported that gamma rays -----
27-28	According to Kovach et al (4) mentioned that a close evolutionary --- Ditto page 22-23
Please revise the Referee's Comments as follows:	
27-33	Not standard English (not understandable)
35-36	Providedmore strongaroma ?
34-84	Not standard English (not understandable): mixture tenses, grammar?
91	generationwere ?
122-130	Grammar and spelling
133-182	Grammar and spelling
183-230	Grammar and spelling
249-313	Grammar and spelling
324-330	Grammar and spelling

Final comments and recommendations:

This article needs to be improved especially in language matters including the grammar and spelling. Some sentences are not understandable.

This paper is recommended to be

- ☐ Accepted without further revision
- ☐ Accepted with minor revision
- ☒ Major Revision is required
- ☐ Rejected