
REVIEW FORM RESPONSE

Editor's Report >>

1. The Title is Appropriate *

[1] Completely Correct ▼

Comment

2. Abstract

The Content and Length of the abstract are appropriate *

[1] Completely Correct ▼

Comment

3. Main Text

The results are important to be reported *

[2] Partly Correct ▼

Comment

The author did not do any revision suggested by reviewer

The paper is of high scientific quality (scientifically sound) *

[1] Completely Correct ▼

Comment

The paper is well organized (experimental/theory/results/conclusion) *

[1] Completely Correct ▼

Comment

The length of the paper is appropriate to the content *

[1] Completely Correct ▼

Comment

The references are adequate in complete / consist of 85% primary references and recent journals *

[2] Partly Correct ▼

Comment

Primary reference: 56.25%; secondary references:43.75%
It is not comply with the requirement of the reference (minimum 85% is primary reference)

The English is alright *

[2] Partly Correct ▼

Comment

many mistakes in grammar

Final Comments

*To the Author **

Please correct the manuscript according to reviewer suggestion and follow the requirement of journal reference (85% is primary reference)

Confidential Comments to the EIC

The paper does not fulfill with reference requirements (85% primary reference) and The author did not do any revision suggested by reviewer as well

This Paper is recommended to be *

- ☐ Accepted without further revision
- ☐ Accepted with minor revision
- ☒ Major Revision is required
- ☐ Rejected

Close

* Denotes required field